UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,456	02/05/2007	Wilfried Erb	12944/003	9107
	7590 02/10/200 IS OFFICE 27879	EXAMINER		
BRINKS HOFE	ER GILSON & LIONE		TORRES VELAZQUEZ, NORCA LIZ	
ONE INDIANA SQUARE, SUITE 1600 INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204-2033		J	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/554,456	ERB ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Norca L. Torres-Velazquez	1794				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 December</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 39-72 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 39-72 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 24 October 2005 is/are:	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 120508.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/554,456 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Claims 1-38 have been canceled.

2. Claims 39, 51 and 65 have been amended, no new matter was found.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments, see amendment, filed December 05, 2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 39-72 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of CHENOWETH et al. '738 in view of YOKOO et al. (US 2003/0104191A1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 39-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CHENOWETH et al. (US 4,946,738) in view of YOKOO et al. (US 2003/0104191A1).

CHENOWETH et al. discloses a nonwoven fibrous product that has good strength and insulating characteristics. (Abstract) The nonwoven fibrous product comprises a blend of glass fibers and synthetic fibers. The glass fibers comprise 42% by weight of the final product. The synthetic fibers may be selected from polyesters, nylons, rayons, acrylics, vinyls, aramids and similar materials. The reference teaches that the optimum portion of synthetic fibers is

Art Unit: 1794

approximately 38% by weight. The reference further teaches using about 4% by weight of the final product of bicomponent fibers. (Refer to Col. 3, lines 1-25; col. 4, lines 51-58; Col. 5, lines 21-22) The reference further teaches that if desired, a foraminous film or skin may be applied to one or both surfaces of the product. The density of the product may be in the range of from 1 to 50 pounds per cubic foot. [16-800 kg/m³] (Refer to Col. 3, lines 40-44) The length of the glass fibers and the synthetic fibers is approximately one quarter to one-half inch to four inches. [6-101 mm] (col. 4, lines 62-66) The reference teaches that the glass fibers, the homogeneous synthetic fibers and the bi-component fibers are blended to produce a highly homogeneous mixture of the three fibers. The reference teaches that the blanket will have a uniform, initial thickness of between about 1 and 3 inches [25-75 mm], but that a thinner or thicker blanket may be produced if desired. (Col. 5, lines 60-67)

The Examiner equates the glass fibers to the claimed reinforcing fibers, the synthetic fibers to the claimed first fiber and the bicomponent fibers to the claimed binder.

However, CHENOWETH is silent to the basis weight of the nonwoven material.

YOKOO et al. relates to a long-fiber reinforced thermoplastic resin sheet. [0001] The material disclosed by the reference includes the use of glass reinforcing fibers [0016] with average length of from 10 to 150 mm [0023] and the sheet having a basis weight of from 30-500 gsm. [0008]

Since both references are directed to glass reinforced sheets, the purpose disclosed by YOKOO et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of CHENOWETH et al.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to produce the sheet of CHENOWETH with a basis weight within the

range taught by YOKOO et al. with the motivation of producing a material that is not to heavy that will not result in higher costs as disclosed by YOKOO et al. (Refer to [0038])

6. Claim 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CHENOWETH et al. and YOKOO et al. as applied to claim 39 above, and further in view of HISCOCK (WO 87/04476).

With regards to claim 45, CHENOWETH et al. discloses the claimed invention except that it teaches polyester and nylon fibers instead of the thermoplastic materials claimed, HISCOCK shows these thermoplastic materials are equivalent structures known in the art. Therefore, because these thermoplastic materials were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute nylon or polyester fibers for polysulfides or polyphenylene sulfide, for example. (Refer to page 6, lines 10-19 that describes thermoplastic fusible polymeric materials).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Norca L. Torres-Velazquez whose telephone number is 571-272-1484. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00-5:00 pm and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on 571-272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,456 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Norca L. Torres-Velazquez/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

February 8, 2009